

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,601	11/21/2003	Olli-Pekka Pohjola	60279.00073	8207
32294 7590 05/17/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, HANH	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2613	~~~
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/717,601	POHJOLA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hanh Phan	2613		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	ebruary 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</li> <li>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> </ul>					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) X Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/06/2007</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 02/05/2007.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 5-7, 9-14, 16-20 and 22-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

-In claims 5 and 12, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector comprises at least one discrete reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors comprises at least two discrete reflectors--.

-In claims 6 and 13, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector comprises a long continuous reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors comprises at least two long continuous reflectors--.

-In claims 7, 9-11, 14, 16-20 and 22, the phrase "at least one disturbing reflector" should be changed to -- at least two disturbing reflectors--.

-In claim 23, the phrase "said disturbing reflector comprises at least one discrete reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors comprises at least two discrete reflectors --.

-In claim 24, the phrase "said disturbing reflector comprises at least a long continuous reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors comprises at least two long continuous reflectors --.

-In claim 25, the phrase "said disturbing reflector" should be changed to -- said disturbing reflectors --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- -In claims 1, 8, 15, 21 and 26-29, the phrase "a second reflection of said upstream signal" is unclear or undefined. What element produces a second reflection of the upstream signal.
- -Claim 15 recites the limitation "said transmission network" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- -Claim 21 recites the limitation "said transmission network" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- -Claim 28 recites the limitation "said transmission network" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- -Claim 29 recites the limitation "said transmission network" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,601 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-29 are allowed (if overcome the 112 rejection and the objections above).

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER